International Research Journal of **APPLIED SCIENCES**

Volume 01 | Issue 01 | 2019





International Research Journal of Applied Sciences

Impact of Education on Farmers Earning: A House Hold Survey Data Analysis

¹Muhammad Ashraf, ¹Muhammad Qasim and ²Fariha Gul

¹Department of Economics, University of Lahore (UOL), Pakpattan, Pakistan ²Department of Education, University of Management and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received: November 25, 2018

Accepted: December 12, 2018

Published: January 31, 2019

Corresponding Author: Muhammad Ashraf, Department of Economics, University of Lahore (UOL), Pakpattan, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

There are wide ranges of benefits that promise education. Education has an important role in the development and growth of manufacturing, services and agriculture sectors. It not only improve earning in different sectors but also have vital role in developing social set up of an economy, improve health, empower women and fast adoption of advanced technologies. So, present study investigates the impact of education on farmers earning. The primary data of 295 rural households are collected through stratified random sampling technique and is estimated with correlation coefficients and Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) techniques. Empirical results indicate that Mother's education, livestock, agricultural technical education, own agricultural land, father education, spouse education and education of farmer have significant and positive association with earning of the farmers. The present study suggests that agriculture is a backbone of an economy, promotion and acceleration of agriculture can develop the economy of country. Development in agriculture can be made by educating the women; increasing quantity and quality of livestock, to gain farming related knowledge, to get own agricultural land by land reforms program and educated domestic background.

Key words: Mother's education, livestock, agricultural technical education, agricultural land, father education, spouse education, respondent education

INTRODUCTION

Education and earning are always associated with each other. Education plays a vital role in the earning of individual in agriculture as well as in other occupations. In many nations, the studies on education and earning explore that an average high educated people have more return than less educated persons. It is because; the educated individual can perform more tasks and can easily adopt the new technologies and skills. Educated individuals have more earning, more respect and more dignity in the society¹. Education also enables farmers to take up other non-agricultural opportunities to boost their earnings². It is well known that the nation's educated workers have great potential to catch up technologies rapidly. This is proven in Japan and other developed countries³. Development in agriculture sector is essential as according to the Government of Pakistan⁴, about 60% population of Pakistan resides in villages and gets their income from agriculture sector. Agriculture contributes 21% of GDP and it provides employment to 45% of workforce. It also helps to meet the food requirements of the

nation. Due to the vital importance of agriculture in the country, it is necessary to develop this sector by raising the income of farmers.

Education empowers farmers by raising their confidence, improving their livelihood and their involvement in processes of economic and social change. Education for farmers, land, infrastructure, skilled labor and livestock are the powerful weapons for farmers to escape from poverty. Knowledge will not only increase production but also enable people to build their identity and to participate in social, economic and political life. So, education and training will become more crucial and gap between rich and poor will be minimized⁵. Education will lead farmers to technical innovations, shifting towards high-return crops, scale economies, better market access condition, removing of less productive labor from farming and livestock production⁶. Importance of agriculture sector cannot be denied as according to The World Bank report 2014⁷, agriculture share was about 32% of GDP in most of Sub Saharan Africa (SSA) countries. So, due to importance of agriculture, SSA countries are focused to increase the income of small farmers by improving seed, fertilizers, agronomic training, irrigation technology, pesticides and credit services to farmers. According to Pakistan economic survey report 2014-15, agricultural performance in Pakistan remained low due to some input factors. Factors behind this low performance includes low rate of education, slow rate technological innovation, less adoption of advanced farming methods, problems with quality, quantity and untimely input supply, low investment in construction of infrastructure, market problems, livestock disease problem and low availability of credit to farmers. Provision food, foreign exchange, market for industrial goods, raw material for industry and export surplus is directly related to agriculture⁸. There are several studies been presented both nationally and internationally on the education and farmer's earning using time series, cross-sectional as well as panel data. But still this issue is needed to be presented more at micro level to suggest solid policy framework in future. Keeping in mind the importance in Pakistan at Tehsil level, the brief review of some empirical evidence from Pakistan and International economies is listed here.

Oduro-Ofori *et al.*⁹ had estimated the effect of education on farmer's agricultural productivity. They observed data of 100 farmers from Municipality based eight farming communities. It was estimated that as the educational level of farmer increase, productivity also increased and there were highest agricultural productivity return at secondary level school education. Extension services showed greater impact on productivity than formal education. Finally, it was concluded

that formal education broad the vision of farmers to farming while non formal education handed on better farming techniques, open mind to adopt new ideas and innovations. It was suggested Government to improve the extension services access to input, credit availability to farmers and quality of formal education. A descriptive study for farmer's efficiency with agricultural education was evaluated by Padhy and Jena¹⁰. There were stated that in rural areas, farmers do not have up to data information on economic farming. It was said that by improving knowledge of farmers about technologies, new techniques and necessary physical resources can dramatically raise the efficiency of farmers. Increase in agricultural output for few years is not solution of problem; productivity must be sustained for coming hundred years. For this Authors suggested that farmer's education and adoption of new techniques about physical resources, water and soil never showed negative effect on productivity.

Khan et al.¹¹ had conducted a study about the impact of credit on livestock income in Lasbela district of Balochistan-Pakistan by using primary and secondary data. It was estimated that agricultural credit promoted livestock sector and enhanced farmers' income by 65%. Elasticity of credit was found greater than elasticity of household size and education level which was 11% for credit, 0.09% for household size and 0.05% for education level. It was argued to policy makers for making easy credit procedure for livestock. This will ultimately alleviate the unemployment and poverty in the region. Kahiu¹², determined a descriptive study on "impact of farmers schooling on livestock productivity". He gathered data from 70 farmers out of all Farmer Field School (FFS) of Machakos country. Data was analyzed by descriptive statistics included with mean, frequencies, regression and correlation. Study finding had indicated strong positive association between livestock productivity, socioeconomic and farmer's knowledge for livestock rearing and Farmer Field School (FFS). After finding it was suggested that farmers should use pasture production and silage making technologies for better livestock productivity. It was also recommended to farmers for income saving strategies, training about nutrition of livestock, farm management and health of livestock. Biam et al.13 had investigated economic efficiency of small farmers with Cobb Douglas stochastic frontier function in Nigeria. They adopted multistage sampling technique and collect data from 485 soybean producing farmers. They found 52% economic efficiency of farmers and found positive and significant relationship of education, experience and fertilizer with economic efficiency. They recommended that economic efficiency of small farmers will rise with improving educational levels. Ahmed et al.¹⁴ analyzed small farmer's access to output market. For this purpose, they collected date from 576 small farmers in twelve districts of Punjab, Pakistan and achieved their goal with logistic regression. The results revealed that education, cost of transportation and market information are important factors that determine access to output market. They suggested education level, flow of market information and transportation facilities should be improved.

Jones¹⁵ measured the relationship between productivity and education by using Cobb-Douglas relationship. He used Ordinary Least Square (OLS) for weekly earning. He had found the answers of following questions in his study; were educated workers more productive than workers with no Formal education? Did earning differentials between workers with different Levels of education reflect genuine productivity differentials? Did the level of Firm technology affect the returns to schooling and the average productivity of workers? This survey was part of a nine-country (Burundi, Cameroon, Cote d'Ivoire, Ghana, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania, Zambia and Zimbabwe). The data used in this analysis was from a panel survey of Ghanaian manufacturing firms. Interview was held with 1121 workers having different earning and education. He found positive correlation between productivity and schooling in his results. Bohne¹⁶ made a survey of 11280 households by collecting information on demographic, education, health, income and expenditure. This effort was about Agriculture, agricultural income and rural poverty in Malawi by using data of integrated Household Budget Survey. Through descriptive and bivariate correlation method he concluded considerable differences in farm income and rural poverty among districts in Malawi. Researcher observed highest poverty rates and lowest farm income in the southern districts while lowest poverty rates and higher farm income were observed in northern districts. These observations in northern districts were due to higher share of household involved in cash crop and food crop growing. After results it was recommended to promote agricultural activities among rural household through targeted projects with agricultural extension, irrigation and improved seed. It was also emphasized on promote export trade orientation, support of the state focus on the poorer farmer, access to credit and fertilizers, switch to food and cash crop for local trade. Javed and Asif¹⁷, had investigated determinants as education, occupation, number of children and secondary earnings that affect monthly family income. According to the Authors, status of household head, number of family members, consumption and income were the significant factors of poverty level. The author suggested that skilled knowledge, special infrastructure and interventions are needed to uplift the status of women in the community.

There are number of factors that have positive or negative linkage between education and farmers earning. If mothers are educated then there will be transmission of education from mothers to offspring especially in daughters. Educated parents can give better environment to their children, which can produce creative skills to their children and pave the way for them to earn more¹⁸. Livestock enhance farmer's income, as it provides food in the form of milk, meat and eggs. People can get income from the purchase and sale of animals, waste of animals is used for enhancing soil fertility. Animals can also be used for farm equipment and transportation. Farmers can transform animal into cash by selling them so it is liquid cash instrument and alternative of insurance¹⁹. Chaudhry et al.²⁰ analyzed positive relationship between livestock and earning of the farmer. From animals, one can earn, not only in the form of milk and meat but also in the form of wool, leather, waste products of fuel and organic fertilizers. Pani²¹, described owned agricultural land as economic asset. Land becomes cause of dignity, social status, freedom and voice of person. Land-owning farmers are more confident than landless farmers. They have easy access to loan and enjoy government schemes. Offspring of educated parents have better earning through many dimensions as they have better cognition, health and education. It has been seen inequality among generations due to discrimination of parent's education. Parent's education improves output efficiency of their children. Educated father have more knowledge to use time inputs and health inputs for better child quality²².

A farmer earns more if his/her spouse is educated. Educated family has more ability to share information, better skills and more capacity to cope with change. They can better advice one another that could benefit partners' career. This implies better decisions about fertility, division of labor and consumption. So, due to healthy and educated domestic environment, farmers have better earning²³. A farmer who has higher education is less likely to become full time farmer. He may be part time farmer or quit from farming. This will become cause of less or no earning from farming. But there may also be chances to have high potential to earn more from farming. If a farmer has high agricultural education, then he will be most likely to become full time farmer with high earning²⁴.

Li and Wang²⁵ found chemical fertilizer and use of machinery, are significant and positive determinants for agricultural production in China. Ahmed *et al.*¹⁴ explored positive linkage of age, educational level of family head and ownership of assets for farmer's income in Nigeria. Henri-Ukoha *et al.*²⁶ had found determinants of farmer welfare at Nigeria. He concluded a positive relationship of income, physical assets and level of education with welfare.

The Present study investigated the relationship between farmers earning and Mother Education, livestock, agricultural technical education, own agricultural land, father education, spouse education and respondent education.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

The primary data of 295 respondents was obtained through household survey and collected through stratified random sampling technique form District Pakpattan, Punjab-Pakistan. In present study questionnaire shaped information was collected from 29 villages of Bahwalnagar road, Burewala road, Pakpattan road and Thrikhni road. Questionnaire was designed in English language but was asked to respondents in local language. There were 30 Union councils in study area. The methodological framework and material is presented below.

Model of the study

Model specification: It has been introduced the comparison of eight different variables and check their impact on farmer's earning in the sample.

General function of the model is represented as:

EARN = f(MRED, LVST, ATE, LND, FED, SPED, RED) (1)

Education

MRED	=	Mother Education
LVST	=	Livestock
ATE	=	Agricultural Technical

- LND = Own Agricultural Land
- FED = Father Education
- SPED = Spouse Education
- RED = Respondent Education

In order to convert general function into regression function, it is added error term into it as given below:

EARNING =
$$\beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_{2i} + \beta_3 X_{3i} + \beta_4 X_{4i} + \beta_5 X_{5i} + \beta_6 X_{6i} + \beta_7 X_{7i} + U_i$$
 (2)

Here β'^{s} are coefficients of variables. These measures the % change in income of the respondent due to one unit change in each variable.

Description and construction of variables: Earning of farmers (EARN) is dependent variable. It includes earning from land, earning from livestock, earning from farming activities and earning from agricultural assets. Land earning means to give some land on rent or harvest own self, livestock earning means sale and purchase of animals, milk, meat and poultry,

farming activities mean own self harvesting and sowing of crops while assets earning include earnings from Trolley, Tractor and land leveler etc.

Mother education (MRED) indicates level of mother's education measured in years. There is expected positive relation of mother's education and farmers earning. Livestock (LVST) is used as dummy variable, value 1 for farmers having livestock and 0 for others. Many studies show positive connection between livestock and earning. Agricultural Technical Education (ATE) is used as dummy, value 1 for those having technical education and 0 for those having not technical education. Farmers whose have taken agriculture subject in Metric or any agriculture training are considered as having technical education. Own agricultural land (LND) is also considered as dummy variable. Farmers having own agricultural land or not having own land are taken as 1 and 0, respectively. Father education level (FED) is measured in number of schooling years. Spouse education level (SPED) is also taken in number of schooling years. Respondent education (RED) is considered as dummy variable, value 1 is awarded to those farmers whose have education and value 0 is given to those whose have no education. FED, SPED and RED should have positive impact on earnings.

Statistical analysis: Data is analyzed by tables, correlation, descriptive analysis and the regression technique Ordinary Least Square (OLS). Estimation and comparison of model is made by using software SPSS statistic 21.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Present study investigates the impact of education on the earning of farmer. It has been made descriptive and econometric analysis. It discussed econometric results of earnings determinants.

In Table 1 first row indicate correlation among dependent variable and all independent variables. There is strong correlation of dependent variable with mother education and father education which is 0.589 and 0.581, respectively. Second row reveals correlation among mother education and all other variables. Mother education has strong correlation with father education but has weak with live stock. Livestock shows negative connection with own agricultural land and spouse education but positive with others variables. Agricultural technical education mentions powerful association with mother education and weak with livestock. Own agricultural land have better connection with father education and negative with live stock. Father education is highly correlated with mother education. Spouse education is

Int. Res. J. Applied Sci., 1 (1): 41-48, 2019

Table 1: Correlations between dependent variable and other explanatory variables

	Earning of	Mother		Agri-Tech	Own agricultural	Father	Spouse	Respondent
Pearson correlation	the farmer	education	Livestock	education	land	education	education	education
Earning of the farmer	1.000	0.589	0.150	0.529	0.262	0.581	0.544	0.304
Mother education	0.589	1.000	0.022	0.578	0.139	0.650	0.539	0.233
Livestock	0.150	0.022	1.000	0.063	-0.130	0.028	-0.041	0.006
Agri-Tech education	0.529	0.578	0.063	1.000	0.122	0.429	0.414	0.138
Own agricultural land	0.262	0.139	-0.130	0.122	1.000	0.237	0.190	0.114
Father education	0.581	0.650	0.028	0.429	0.237	1.000	0.607	0.297
Spouse education	0.544	0.539	-0.041	0.414	0.190	0.607	1.000	0.374
Respondent education	0.304	0.233	0.006	0.138	0.114	0.297	0.374	1.000

Source: Author's calculation dependent variable; earning of the farmer

Table 2: Descriptive statistic (Mean and standard deviation of all variables)

Variables	Mean	Std. deviation
Earning of the farmer	33955.98	19945.319
Mother education	2.34	3.340
Livestock	0.81	0.395
Agricultural technical education	0.21	0.408
Own agricultural land	0.83	0.376
Father education	5.01	4.398
Spouse education	5.93	4.920
Respondent education	0.88	0.324

Source: Author's calculation, dependent variable; earning of the farmer

strongly correlated with father education and negatively correlated with livestock. Respondent education has grater correlation with spouse education but weak with livestock. In the Table 1 it is powerful correlation is between mother education and father education and weak is between livestock and respondent education. Dependent variable has not negative correlation with any independent variable.

Table 2 shows mean and standard deviation of defined variables. Table interprets high differences between earning of farmers, livestock, agricultural technical education, own agricultural land and respondent education. However, low differences are exited between mother education, father education and spouse education. In earning of the farmer standard deviation from the mean is 19945 which show high difference between earnings of farmers. Mean value of mother education is 2.34 and variability from mean is 3.34. Just like this mean value of livestock, Agricultural technical education, own agricultural land, father education, spouse education and respondent education from mean is 0.34,0.395, 0.408, 0.376, 4.398, 4.920 and 0.324, respectively.

Regression analysis: In this section estimation of results is stated by taking earning of the farmer as the dependent variable and mother education, livestock, agricultural technical education, own agricultural land, father education, spouse education and respondent education as the independent variables.

Table 3: Results of regression analysis (OLS)

Varialalaa	Casffiniant	т	Duels /Cia
variables	Coefficient	I	Prob/Sig.
Constant	3353.461	0.991	0.323
Mother education	1224.290	3.397	0.001*
Livestock	7641.453	3.668	0.000*
Agricultural technical education	10711.959	4.327	0.000*
Own agricultural land	7368.527	3.273	0.001*
Father education	821.057	3.046	0.003*
Spouse education	728.934	3.259	0.001*
Respondent education	5410.706	1.991	0.047**

Source: Author's calculation, dependent variable; farmers earning; *1% significance level; **5% significance level

Table 3 indicates that all variables have positive and significant effect on the farmer's earning. Mother education, livestock, Agricultural technical education, own agricultural land, father education and spouse education are significant at 1% level of significance but respondent education is significant at 5%. In this sample, for mother education value of coefficient 1224.29 indicates that a farmer earns 1224.29 rupees more with each class increase in mother education. Children of educated mothers have cognitive skills through genetic transmission. All these factors lead to an individual towards better earning. Mother's education showed positive effect with log of earning per month²⁰. Good and large quantity of livestock boost up the farmer's earning. Livestock has positive and significant association with the earning because having animals is an investment and have some return. Result investigates that a farmer can earn 7641 Rupees more with increase in each animal. Hennessy and Rehman²⁷ had analyzed

same relationship between earning and livestock. Agricultural technical education drives the earning towards rising. Through estimation it reveals that formers having technical education earn 10711.9 Rupees more than those having not such education. Agriculture technical education builds capacity of discovery, observation, exploration and adaption in the farmers. It improves skills and knowledge and builds self- confidence in farmers. Due to technical education, farmers learn about plant protection techniques, problems identification of crops, proper and timely use of fertilizers reduces cost techniques and high productions techniques.

Int. Res. J. Applied Sci., 1 (1): 41-48, 2019

Table 4: Brief results of estimated model

				Std. error	Change statistics					
			Adjusted	of the				Durbin-		
Model	R	R square	R square	estimate	R square change	F change	df1	df2	Sig. F change	Watson
1	0.725ª	0.525	0.514	13907.038	0.525	45.390	7	287	0.000	1.997
a. Predic	tors: (Consta	nt), Education,	Livestock, Agricu	ultural technical ec	lucation, Own agricultu	ral land, Father	education	, Spouse ed	ucation, Mother e	ducation, b:

a. Predictors: (Constant), Education, Livestock, Agricultural technical education, Own agricultural land, Father education, Spouse education, Mother education, b Dependent Variable: Total earning of the farmer

Table 5: Variance analysis of the model (ANOVA)

rable bi rahance analysis of the model (into hi)										
Model		Sum of squares	Df	Mean square	F	Sig.				
1	Regression	61450396442.159	7	8778628063.166	45.390	0.000 ^b				
	Residual	55507438082.675	287	193405707.605						
	Total	116957834524.834	294							

b. Predictors: (Constant), Education, Livestock, Agricultural technical education, Own agricultural land, Father education, Spouse education, Mother education

Present estimation is consistent with Khatam *et al.*²⁸. Farmers who have own agricultural land earn 7368 Rupees more than those who do not have own land. Agricultural land is an asset that provides security to farmers. Farmers who have own land get economies of cost reduction. In villages own land is a sign of prestige and honor for farmers.

Father education is significant at 1% level and value of coefficient shows that rise in each class of father education will raise 821 rupees of earning. Education is essential for the development family. It makes familiar, skilled, capable and expert. More educated fathers have encouraging attitude towards children's economic activities. All these above mentioned things drive the children's towards rising. Father's education play positive and significant role on farmers earning. This result matches with Lee et al.29. Spouse education plays positive and significant effect on farmers earning. Present study Estimates that 728.9 Rupees increase with each class of spouse education. Human capital is the combination of one's knowledge, skills and abilities, by which one can produce income. Education is an important path to get human capital. Skills, knowledge and abilities improve with surrounding people like spouse and friends. When human capital increases, earning also increases. That is why spouse education shows positive and significant effect on earnings. Present result is same as described by Zhao³⁰. Educated farmers can catch up technologies, skills and knowledge easily. Due this ability, their earning may raise. Present study analyses that an educated farmers earn Rs. 5410.7 more than those who are uneducated. Lauer³¹ had also found same relationship between farmer's education and earning.

In Table 4, the coefficient of multiple R mentions the degree of linear association jointly between dependent variable and independent variables. In this study $R^2 = 0.525$ estimates 52.5% relationship between dependent variable and all independent variables jointly. In multiple regression model "R"

has little importance but meaning quantity is R^2 . The coefficient of determination R^2 is important in a regression line for goodness of fit.

In statistical term is used to compare the mean of more than two populations. Econometrics is often using the tools of statistical inference. Thus here ANOVA use to source of variations (Sum of square). In this Table 5 there are three variations in dependent variable such as, due to regression (ESS), due to residuals (RSS) and total (TSS) with corresponding degrees of freedom.

Many studies as mentioned below were made to discover the impact of education and other determinants on farmer's earning in different ways and in different areas by using different methods. Raza and Siddigui³² had estimated the relationship of agricultural output with improved seeds, fertilizer consumption and labor employed in the farm sector, number of tube-wells and tractors and water availability. Chaudhry et al.²⁰ concluded the effect of health and education on earning. But it had not been analyzed the impact of education on farmer's earning by using variables like mother' s education, quantity of livestock, own agricultural land, father education, spouse education, farmers technical education and farmer schooling years at rural Punjab, Pakistan. Present study has attempted to measure such relationship. This comprehensive work will pave the way for the researchers to explore other than determinants. It will also provide policy recommendations to policy making authorities to improve education and other facilities for farmers to increase their income.

CONCLUSION

Agriculture is a backbone of an economy and it will boost up with the well-being and education of farmers. In present study, it has been seen the expected association of defined variables with dependent variable. In present estimation mother education, livestock, Agricultural technical education, own agricultural land, father education, spouse education and respondent education have shown positive and significant association with earning of farmers.

POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS

After result estimation, it has been made policy recommendation with respect to the relationships of variables. Govt. should made obligatory steps for female education promotion and start motivational schemes as monthly stipend, better job offers etc. for female education. Holding animals is an important economic sector because it provides dairy food products, meat, leather, stung for fuels and organic fertilizers. Due to the importance of livestock, Govt. should start supportive frame-work for farmers as interest free credit for purchase of animals, control prices for animals to avoid losses, animal insurances, training, free medication and vaccination and animals market. Agricultural technical education creates skill and informative knowledge about crops to farmers. So, it is need to expand agricultural technical institutions and agricultural research institutions in all areas of country. It should also be obligate for pesticide companies to provide agriculture training to farmers. Own agricultural land gives financial background and confidence to farmers. Government should start agriculture reforms and distribute land among farmers.

No one can deny about the fruitful outcomes of education. Education is a powerful tool to make people's lives meaningful and it increase people's self-confidence. National governments, international agencies, the World Bank and NGOs should emphasis on education for all (EFA) programs and should be introduced adult education schemes in the country with result monitoring progress. There must also be coordination between agriculture and education ministries for capacity development agricultural education. These measures will not only raise the farmers earning but also stimulate the economy of developing countries like Pakistan.

REFERENCES

- Su, B. and A. Heshmati, 2013. Analysis of the determinants of income and income gap between urban and rural China. China Econ. Policy Review, 2: 1352.
- 2. Vladimirova, K. and D. Le Blanc, 2016. Exploring links between education and sustainable development goals through the lens of UN flagship reports. Sustainable Dev., 24: 254-271.
- 3. Andaleeb, S.S., 2002. Rejuvenating the Nation's Higher Education System http://www.dailystarnews.com /200205/09/n2050909,htm.
- 4. Wasti, S.E., 2015. Economic Survey of Pakistan 2014-15. Islamabad: Government of Pakistan.

- 5. Acker, D. and L. Gasperini, 2009. Education for rural people: The role of education, training and capacity development in poverty reduction and food security. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).
- Jayne, T.S., J. Chamberlin, L. Traub, N. Sitko, M. Muyanga, F.K. Yeboah and R. Kachule, 2016. Africa's changing farm size distribution patterns: The rise of medium scale farms. Agric. Econ., 47: 197-214.
- 7. World Bank Group, 2014. World development indicators 2014. World Bank Publications.
- 8. Diao, X., P. Hazell and J. Thurlow, 2010. The role of agriculture in African development. World Dev., 38: 1375-1383.
- Oduro-Ofori, E., A.P. Aboagye and N.A.E. Acquaye, 2014. Effects of education on the agricultural productivity of farmers in the Offinso municipality. Int. J. Dev. Res., 4: 1951-1960.
- Padhy, C. and B.K. Jena, 2015. Effect of agricultural education on farmers' efficiency. Int. J. Eng. Technol. Manage. Applied Sci., 2: 2349-4476.
- Khan, K., M.A. Kamal, S. Ramazan, G. Khan, G. Ali and S. Ahmed, 2018. Impact of Agricultural Credit on Livestock Income: A Case Study of District Lasbela, Baluchistan. Sarhad J. Agric., 34: 246-250.
- 12. Kahiu, I.G., 2016. The Impact of Farmer Field School on Livestock Production: The Case ofLand O'lakes/United States Department of Agriculture Kenya Semi-Arid Livestock Enhancement Support Project In Machakos County (Doctoral Dissertation, United States International University-Africa).
- 13. Biam, C.K., A. Okorie and S.U. Nwibo, 2016. Economic efficiency of small scale soyabean farmers in Central Agricultural Zone, Nigeria: A Cobb-Douglas stochastic frontier cost function approach. J. Dev. Agric. Econ., 8: 52-58.
- Ahmed, U.I., L. Ying, M.K. Bashir, M. Abid, E. Elahi and M.A. Iqbal, 2016. Access to output market by small farmers: The case of Punjab, Pakistan. J. Anim. Plant Sci., 26: 787-793.
- 15. Jones, P., 2008. Are Educated Really Workers More Productive. Department of Economics Vassar College, pp: 1-42.
- Bohne, A., 2009. Agriculture, agricultural income and rural poverty in Malawi: Spatial analysis of determinants and differences. BeiträgezurKölner Afrikawissenschaftlichen Nachwuchstagung (KANT II), http://www.uni-koeln. de/phil-fak/afrikanistik/kant/data/Bohne-KANT2.pdf.
- Javed, Z.H. and A. Asif, 2011. Female households and poverty: A case study of Faisalabad District. Int. J. Peace Dev. Studies, 2: 37-44.
- 18. Havari, E. and M. Savegnago, 2016. The Causal Effect of Parents' Schooling on Children's Schooling in Europe. An Instrumental Variable Approach.
- Randolph, T.F., E. Schelling, D. Grace, C.F. Nicholson, J.L. Leroy, D.C. Cole and M. Ruel, 2007. Invited review: Role of livestock in human nutrition and health for poverty reduction in developing countries. J. Anim. Sci., 85: 2788-2800.

- 20. Chaudhry, I.S., M.Z. Faridi and S. Anjum, 2010. The Effects of Health and Education on Female Earnings: Empirical Evidence from District Vehari. Pak. J. Soc. Sci., 30: 109-124.
- 21. Pani, P., 2016. Controlling gully erosion: An analysis of land reclamation processes in Chambal Valley, India. Development in Practice, 26: 1047-1059.
- 22. Lundborg, P., A. Nilsson and D.O. Rooth, 2014. Parental education and offspring outcomes: Evidence from the Swedish compulsory School Reform. Am. Econ. J. Applied Econ., 6: 253-78.
- 23. Åström, J., 2009. The Effects of Spousal Education on Individual Earnings: A Case Study of Married Swedish Couples (No.788). Umeå University, Department of Economics, pp: 1-29.
- 24. Bratberg, E., Ø.A. Nilsen and K. Vaage, 2008. Job losses and child outcomes. Labour Econ., 15: 591-603.
- 25. Li, X. and X. Wang, 2003. Changes in agricultural land use in China: 1981-2000. Asian Geogr., 22: 27-42.
- Henri-Ukoha, A., J.S. Orebiyi, P.C. Obasi, N.N. Oguoma, D.O. Ohajianya and U.C. Ibekwe, 2011. Determinants of Ioan acquisition from the financial institutions by small-scale farmers in Ohafia Agricultural zone of Abia State, South East Nigeria. J. Dev. Agric. Econ., 3: 67-74.

- Hennessy, T.C. and T. Rehman, 2008. Assessing the impact of the 'decoupling' reform of the common agricultural policy on Irish farmers' off farm labour market participation decisions. J. Agric. Econ., 59: 41-56.
- Khatam, A., S. Muhammad, K.M. Chaudhry, A.H. Mann, I. Haq, Z.U. Khan and H. Amin, 2010. Strengths and weaknesses of Farmers' Field Schools approach as perceived by farmers. Sarhad J. Agric., 26: 685-688.
- 29. Lee, S.Y.T., N. Roys and A. Seshadri, 2015. The causal effect of parents' education on children's earnings. Mimeo Technical report. https://www.tse-fr.eu/sites/default/files/TSE/ documents/sem2015/macro/lee.pdf
- Zhao, H., 2015. Effects of Husband's Education on Wife's Earnings: The Recent Evidence. Gettysburg Econ. Review, 8: 21-44.
- Lauer, C., 2004. Education, gender and earnings in France and Germany: level and dispersion effects. Discussion Paper No. 04-54, Zentrum für Europäische Wirtschaftsforschung, ZEW. ftp://ftp.zew.de/pub/zew-docs/dp/dp0454.pdf
- 32. Raza, J. and W. Siddiqui, 2014. Determinants of Agricultural Output in Pakistan: A Johansen Co-integration Approach. Acad. Res. Int., 5: 30-46.